

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Retent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONED FOR PATENTS P.O. BOY 450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexadria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/855,109	05/14/2001	La Tondra Murray	RSW9-2000-0147-US1	8729
7590 08/29/2006		EXAMINER		
Mark D. Simpson, Esquire			PITARO, RYAN F	
Synnestvedt & Lechner 2600 Aramark Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1101 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950			2174	
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination			
	09/855,109	MURRAY, LA TONDRA			
		Art Unit			
	Ryan Pitaro	2174	_		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief I	Request for Review filed August 7, 2	2006.
 Improper Request – The Request reason(s): 	st is improper and a conference will r	not be held for the following
The request does not include re	een filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeasons why a review is appropriate. Ided with the Pre-Appeal Brief reque	
The time period for filing a response co the mail date of the last Office commun		
2. Proceed to Board of Patent App held. The application remains under ap is required to submit an appeal brief in a brief will be reset to be one month from running from the receipt of the notice of appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFF of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	peal because there is at least one ac accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The mailing this decision, or the balance appeal, whichever is greater. Furthe	ctual issue for appeal. Applicant time period for filing an appeal of the two-month time period er, the time period for filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-11 and 13. Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations. 	status of the claim(s) is as follows:	
3. Allowable application – A conference of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution of applicant at this time.		
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action		withdrawn and a new Office
All participants:		Bustine Kinicaid KRISTINE KINICAID
(1) Ryan Pitaro*.	(3) <u>Joe Dixon</u> .	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
(2) Kristine Kincaid.	(4)	